

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,732	03/29/2004	Kevin Girard Conwell	13814 1432		
7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER			
ORUM & ROTH			NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN		
53 W. JACKSON BLVD CHICAGO, IL 60604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
			32	CONWELL ET AL.				
Offi	ce Action Summary	Examine	,	Art Unit				
		Camtu T.	Nguyen	3743				
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	;			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for r - If NO period for r - Failure to reply v Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comn eply specified above is less than thirty (3 eply is specified above, the maximum strictly within the set or extended period for reply and by the Office later than three months a from adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication. 0) days, a reply within the stat atutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>16 December 2</u>	<u>004</u> .					
2a) This ac	tion is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims	•						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s								
Application Pape	ers							
9) The spe	cification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	t may not request that any object	•						
	ment drawing.sheet(s) including n or declaration is objected to				* *			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119							
a) All 1. C 2. C 3. C	edgment is made of a claim of Some * c) None of: ertified copies of the priority opies of the certified copies of the priority opies of the certified copies pplication from the Internation attached detailed Office action	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume nal Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	e			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Refere	ences Cited (PTO-892)		Λ.Π					
	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on November 26, 2004 and December 16, 2004. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 2 and 3 have been cancelled.

Claims 4-21 are newly added claim, rendering claims 1 and 4-21 pending.

Applicant's comments pertaining to the Conwell et al reference applied in the previous Office Action are acknowledged however deemed not persuasive. Applicant is directed to MPEP § 2136.04 (Different Inventive Entity; Meaning of "By Another"). In current situation, reference Conwell et al is prior art because the inventive entity is by "another" in view of CFR 1.48(a), as presented below. The claims are rejected for the reasons as follow.

Inventorship

In view of the papers filed November 26, 2004, it has been found that this nonprovisional application, as filed, through error and without deceptive intent, improperly set forth the inventorship, and accordingly, this application has been corrected in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(a). The inventorship of this application has been changed by addition of Pixie A. Austin.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,732

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-7, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,350,071). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising UV lamp (5) as means for curing UV curable ink on labels (4). Figure 1 illustrates the UV curing station is inside a printer housing (6). Figure 2 illustrates the UV curing station is attached to the printer housing (6) and is outside the printer housing (6). With regards to the UV curing station attaching to the label rewinder or to other structures as recited in claims 4 and 5, it is a mere functional recitation and a mere statement of intended use. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, the UV curing station is capable of being attached to structures as recited in claims 4 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3743

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525) in view of Lincoln et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising elements as recited in these claims but does not teach a reflector. Lincoln et al discloses in Figure 3a ultraviolet lamp (80) having a reflector (82). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to install reflector as taught by Lincoln et al in Conwell et al's UV lamps as such would direct UV radiation onto labels (4).

Claims 8-11 and 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Conwell et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,935,525) in view of Ylitalo et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,543,890). Conwell et al discloses in Figures 1 and 2 a UV curing station comprising elements as recited in these claims but does not teach at least one filter. Ylitato et al discloses in Figures 1-8 an apparatus for radiation curing of ink used in inkjet printing. Figures 1 and 2 illustrates a curing device (16) having at least one or more of source UV radiation including UV lamps (17). Figure 2 further illustrates the UV lamp (17) having a shield (20). Ylitato et al discloses the possibility to modify the UV lamp by adding an infrared filter (column 2 lines 45-51). Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the Conwell et al's UV lamp (5) to include a filter as such would reduce the amount of heat reaching the labels.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,732

Art Unit: 3743

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Camtu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0537.

The examiner can normally be reached on (M-F) 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Camtu Nguyen March 10, 2005

Henry connett

Supervisory Haten Examiner